


LifeLINES



Summer 2019



Death with dignity...or murder?

by Denise Leipold


On July 11, 2019 in Reims, France, 42 year old Vincent Lambert died of starvation after his wife fought a 10 year battle to have his feeding tube removed. He had suffered severe brain damage in a road accident in 2008. He became severely disabled but was not terminally ill and needed a feeding tube for nutrition and hydration. Euthanasia and assisted suicide are illegal in France, but the law allows patients who are terminally ill to stop treatments if there is no hope for recovery. Even though he was not terminally ill, Vincent's food and nutrition were ultimately ruled as "medical treatments". Since he was not capable of making decisions, his wife decided for him and the court ultimately agreed, causing his death.

In 1990 in Florida, 26 year old Terri Schiavo suffered a traumatic brain injury which left her incapacitated. While her cognitive abilities were extremely limited, her overall health stabilized. Because of her inability to swallow, she became dependent on a feeding tube for nutrition and hydration. She was incapacitated, but not terminally ill. Terri's husband initially fought hard for her to survive, however, over time, he changed his mind and fought Terri's parents and siblings (who were more than willing to assume her care) in court claiming that the tube was an extraordinary medical procedure and should be removed. He eventually prevailed, and Terri died a gruesome death from starvation, even though euthanasia is illegal in the United States.

These cases are examples of the horrors of euthanasia. Euthanasia is defined as the act or practice of killing or permitting the death of hopelessly sick or injured individuals in a relatively painless way for reasons of mercy. The practice is legal in Belgium, Canada, Colombia, Luxembourg, The Netherlands and Switzerland, and groups are ramping up to try and make it legal in more countries, including

the United States, where physician assisted suicide has already become legal in Maine, Vermont, New Jersey, Colorado, Montana, Washington, Oregon, California, Hawaii and the District of Colombia.

In Ohio, assisted suicide is a third degree felony, punishable by up to five years in prison. Regardless of this fact, the push to make it legal is rearing its ugly head once again. Groups like "Death with Dignity", and "Compassion and Choices" are raising funds and making public appearances to present assisted suicide as a compassionate choice.



Like abortion, euthanasia and assisted suicide are far from a compassionate "choice". You will often here those pushing for the "right to die" saying that it is necessary to prevent the uncontrollable pain often associated with terminal illnesses. The problem is that this is a fallacy, since pain CAN be controlled.

National studies show that among those patients requesting assisted suicide, depression is the biggest factor. The reasons for the depression in terminally ill patients come from many fears, like the fear of losing autonomy, decreased participation in activities, perceived loss of dignity through the loss of bodily functions, and most significantly, the fear of financial burden or being a burden on family.

Dr. Linda Ganzini, a professor of psychiatry at Oregon Health and Science University, surveyed family members of Oregon patients who requested assisted suicide. She found that the concerns about what COULD happen far outweighed any physical symptoms at the time of the request. In 2015 in Oregon, only 5 out of 132 assisted suicide victims received psychiatric counseling. Ganzini's study also confirmed that many patients would change their minds about assisted suicide if interventions were made to help the patients maintain control, independence

(Continued on page 4)

My husband and I recently returned from a trip to northern Europe. While walking around in Copenhagen, Denmark, we came upon a store called “Normal”, and I couldn’t resist taking a picture in front of it to declare to my family and friends that I really was normal, and not the crazy or eccentric person that they sometimes think I am!

The picture has generated a lot of discussion since I got home. It got many of us to talk about just what really is normal, or what should be considered acceptable. Travelling in different countries makes you realize that what is normal for us might not be normal for them. However, we tend to be more on a level playing field when it comes to what is considered acceptable. The scary thing though, is how easily things that we once considered taboo have become accepted and routine in many people’s lives.

When I was a child, television and advertising had a lot different content than they do now, especially when it comes to relationships and sex. You never saw a partial nudity or sexual activity. It just wasn’t morally right or acceptable. These days, you can see just about anything on television. But it didn’t happen overnight. If it had, we could have probably stopped it. It came in very slowly in very small increments so that each “new” thing that was formerly unacceptable snuck in almost unnoticed. If it made it, then more and more was accepted until we have what we have now. Our children are seeing sexual activities outside of marriage as a natural occurrence, and they see their movie heroes and heroines having children outside of marriage, and then moving on to other relationships outside of marriage and having more children. They hear those same entertainment icons promote abortion and other immoral activities. They hear language in prime time that is vulgar and obscene and think nothing of it.

I spoke with one parent recently who told me that they homeschool their children, and severely restrict what their children are allowed to watch on television, and that they have extreme limits on social media. Their goal, they told me, is to shield their children from the low values and bad morals that seem to be so accepted. I told them that I admired them for their efforts, but that their kids wouldn’t always be living in a

bubble! How are you going to prepare them to deal with their friends and peers when they make their way into the outside world where what their children have been shielded against is considered acceptable? How are you going to influence society to change if you just stick to yourself?



What we see and hear on television, in movies, on social media, in the news—*especially liberal news stations*—in the music industry and more is sometimes very appalling, especially since so many of our youth have been raised to believe that this way of thinking and acting is acceptable. We DO have freedom of speech and a lot of other liberties, but what comes with those freedoms should be the responsibility to use them wisely. Yes, you do have the freedom of speech to run into a crowded movie theatre and yell “fire!”, but does your right to do that take precedence over the rights of the individuals in the theatre who may be trampled and injured trying to get to the exits to save themselves? NO!!!! We aren’t teaching our children OR ourselves anything when we remain silent on what should be unacceptable. Just because something is legal, doesn’t make it right!

The story of Cain and Able should teach us that we ARE our brother’s keeper. We are a nation of laws based on Judeo-Christian principles in order to keep us all safe. One of the biggest adages I hear from abortion advocates is that the government should keep their nose out of women’s business, and that abortion is between the woman and her doctor. What a hypocrisy! We have laws against burglary and murder, and regulations on hospitals, banks, restaurants and more to keep EVERYONE safe. It is our duty and obligation to protect human life from the moment it is created to the moment of death, no exceptions.

I’m sure we could have plenty of conversations about what is normal and what is not, but the point I am trying to make is that when unacceptable behaviors and actions become “normal” because they are prevalent, it doesn’t make it right. That’s almost like mob rule. We can’t let the mob rule, and we should stand together to stop it. We all have voices and lots of opportunities to use them. Let’s make “normal” mean something good again.

For Life,

Denise Leipold, *Executive Director*



Major Democratic Presidential Candidates' Position on Abortion by Dr. Ray Adamek

Listed below are the positions of the major Democratic Presidential candidates as of July 20, 2019, based on their written or verbal statements, and voting records if they held public office.

Joseph Biden Vice-President in Barack Obama's 8-year presidency, and U.S. Senator from 1973-2009, Joe Biden's voting record on prolife issues scored close to zero during 2001-2008, according to the National Right to Life Committee (NRLC). As vice president, he supported the Obama administration's pro-abortion policies. He voted against the U.S. "Mexico City Policy" which prohibits federal funding of foreign groups that promote or perform abortions. He voted repeatedly to pay for military service members' abortions with tax dollars. In 2011, Biden assured a Chinese audience that he "fully understood" and was "not second-guessing" their population policy, which involved forced sterilization and abortion. After initially supporting the Hyde Amendment which had long prohibited the use of federal dollars to pay for domestic abortion, he quickly dropped that support after becoming a candidate. Biden has indicated he would also "codify" *Roe v. Wade* in federal law, which would nullify all state pro-life laws, and force Americans to pay for abortions under an expanded Obamacare.



Cory Booker, U.S. Senator (NJ), has voted pro-abortion each of 14 times a pro-life issue was before him. These included votes against prohibiting late-term abortions, voting to use taxpayer dollars to pay for abortions, and to support Planned Parenthood. He noted that "I oppose the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act" and the Supreme Court's upholding it. He voted against the nominations of now Justices Neil Gorsuch and Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court. He recently voted against considering a bill that would have given basic medical care to infants born alive after an attempted abortion. If elected, Booker said he would apply a *Roe v. Wade* litmus test to future judicial nominees, codify a right to abortion in federal legislation, repeal the Hyde Amendment, reverse any pro-life executive actions taken by President Trump, and create a "White House Office of Reproductive Freedom."



Kristen Gillibrand, U.S. Senator (NY) has a 100% voting record with Planned Parenthood (PP) and NARAL. She supported PP during the undercover video dispute regarding the sale of aborted baby parts, while declaring she wouldn't watch the videos. Most recently, she voted against legislation meant to protect the lives of infants born after a failed abortion, saying infanticide "doesn't happen, it's illegal, it's not a fact." (See evidence to the contrary in our Spring



issue). She also voted for taxpayer funded abortion, and against a ban on abortion for late-term, pain-capable unborn children. She stated, "As president, I will only nominate judges—including for Supreme Court justices—who will commit to upholding *Roe v. Wade* ...".

Kamala Harris, U.S. Senator (CA). As California's Attorney General in 2015, Harris played a key role in defending Planned Parenthood when undercover videos revealed they were apparently selling aborted baby body parts, violating patient confidentiality, and illegally modifying abortion procedures to obtain undamaged tissue for customers. When these practices were brought to her attention, rather than investigating PP, she went after David Daleiden who took and released the videos. Having her political career supported in part by PP, she authorized a raid on Daleiden's home to confiscate the videos. Rather than being a neutral state investigator seeking the truth, she seemed to function as PP's partner.



She has a 100% pro-abortion rating with PP and NARAL. In 2017, along with Cory Booker, Kristen Gillibrand, Beto O'Rourke, Bernie Sanders and Elizabeth Warren, she sponsored the Women's Health Protection Act, which would invalidate nearly all state and federal abortion regulations, including waiting periods, informed consent, bans on late-term abortions, and sex-selection abortions. She has promised to "continue to fight so that all women have access to reproductive health care [i.e. abortion], [continue] my career-long opposition to the Hyde Amendment," protect PP's funding, and nominate judges "who respect *Roe v. Wade*."

Amy Klobuchar, U.S. Senator (MN). Having served 12 years in the Senate, Klobuchar has never voted for a pro-life bill or a restriction on abortion, scoring zero out of 36 life votes, according to NRLC. She has voted for taxpayer funding of abortion both at home and abroad, against banning abortion after 20 weeks, and against considering giving aid to infants born alive after failed abortions. She also co-sponsored the Women's Health Protection Act that would eliminate any limits on abortion, at any stage of pregnancy.



Bernie Sanders, U.S. Senator (VT). Serving as Vermont's junior senator since 2007, Sanders compiled a 100% pro-abortion voting record according to PP and NARAL. He also served in the U.S. House for 16 years. NRLC has counted 106 pro-abortion votes by Sanders. He has sponsored legislation such as the Freedom of Choice Act and more recently, the Women's Health Protection Act. He has voted against banning late-term abortions, and for requiring taxpayers to fund abortion and PP's abortion business. His campaign plan, "Medicare for All," includes free taxpayer-



(Continued on page 4)

and self-care in their home for as long as possible.

Those who promote euthanasia and assisted suicide are guilty of the same thing as those who promote abortion: they treat the life of the individual being killed as not worth living. Euthanasia and assisted suicide are deadly forms of discrimination against the old, ill and disabled just like abortion is deadly discrimination against pre-born children.

In states where assisted suicide is legal, the perception is that the laws contains safeguards to prevent abuse, but they don't always work:

- While a second doctor must validate a suicide request, doctor shopping is common when a 2nd physician disagrees.
- Assisted suicide places lethal drugs in patients' hands to be administered at a time of their choosing, making it impossible to determine whether their judgment is impaired when the actual decision for suicide is made and the drugs are taken.
- An heir to a patient's estate or friends of the heir can encourage or pressure the patient to request lethal drugs and then be a witness to the request. Generally, assisted suicide laws allow one of the two witnesses to be an heir.
- Some pain-relieving and/or life-saving medications are not being paid for by state health plans, but assisted suicide lethal medication is.
- Elder abuse is considered a major health problem in the United States with federal estimates that one in ten elderly persons are abused. Placing lethal drugs into the hands of abusers generates an additional major risk to elderly persons.
- Assisted suicide laws typically limit eligibility to terminally ill patients who are expected to die within six months but don't distinguish between persons

who will die within six months with treatment and those who will die within six months without treatment. This means patients with treatable diseases like diabetes and disabilities requiring ventilator support are eligible for lethal drugs since they would die within six months without treatment. Furthermore, diagnoses of terminal illness and predictions of life expectancy are notoriously inaccurate.

- For those who die from the assisted suicide medications, the cause of death is listed as the underlying illness rather than the suicide, skewing statistics for the underlying illness.
- In Oregon and Washington, all reporting about doctor-assisted deaths is self-reporting by the doctors prescribing the lethal drugs. Doctors cannot report reliably on the situation when patients ingest the lethal overdose and die, as nothing in the law requires them to be present –and no one else who may be present is required to report. Who else may have been present, what role they played in causing the patient's death, and what motives they were acting on, are not known and never reported.

Acceptance of physician-assisted suicide sends the message that some lives are not worth living. Yes, we are all going to eventually die, but to choose the day of death is not natural or normal. To paint this as a compassionate choice of death with dignity is even worse. We should assist people to live comfortably until they die, not to hasten their death as a treatment for their illness. That is playing at being God.

For more information about this topic, visit our website, click on "Issues", then "Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide." Also visit the websites of the Euthanasia Prevention Coalition (www.epcc.ca) and the Patient's Rights Council (www.patientsrightscouncil.org). These are organizations that we network with who are experts on end of life issues. ■

Major Democratic Presidential Candidates' Position on Abortion continued from page 3

funded abortions, thus negating the Hyde Amendment. The legislation would also force medical professionals to be involved in abortions, regardless of whether they have religious or moral objections. He also voted against considering giving aid to infants born alive after failed abortions. Sanders promised that his judicial nominees would uphold *Roe v. Wade*, and that he would "use the Department of Justice to go after those states [that restrict abortion] in every way that I legally can."

Elizabeth Warren, U.S. Senator (MA). Serving as Massachusetts senior senator since 2013, Warren has voted pro-abortion on 15 of 15 votes, according to the NRLC. On May 17, 2019 she introduced a plan in the Senate to legalize abortion up to birth for any reason, prohibit states from "interfering with the ability of a patient to access medical care, including abortion services." She wants to end the Hyde Amendment and calls for tax-funded abortions. Her plan would require that all health plans, including Medicare for All, to include abortion coverage. She also wants Congress to enact laws that invalidate state rules which place "near-impossible" regulations on abortion clinics. She would also prohibit states from limiting access to the medications that induce abortions. She would end Trump administration policies that defund PP, would end the Mexico City Policy and has voted against bills calling for the end of late-term abortions for pain-capable children (post 20 weeks gestation), and for giving aid to infants who survive attempted abortions. ■



Democratic presidential candidates' statements indicate they ignore or deny the existence of unborn human beings. In making these statements, they seem unaware of, or refuse to acknowledge, the irony of their statements.

Pro-choicers formerly called abortion "reproductive health care." In fact, it is anti-reproductive health endangerment. The individual reproduced is killed, and the woman involved is subjected to increased risk of physical and mental harm. (*Visit rtlofneo.com, click on Issues, then Abortion and see the paper, "Legal Abortion Harms Women."*) Recently, they seem to have dropped this term, and simply refer to abortion as "women's health care."

Here are other examples of pro-choice presidential candidates' recent statements:

Cory Booker In a March interview on "Pod Save America", Booker maintained that President Trump and pro-life Americans' "attack" on Planned Parenthood "literally ... could cause people's death." PP's latest annual report (2017-18) indicates it killed 332,757 unborn humans that fiscal year.

Kamala Harris A strong advocate of gun

control, Harris recently said, "We cannot tolerate and live in a country with any level of pride when our babies are being slaughtered." Yet she supports abortion up to birth and voted not to consider an anti-infanticide bill. The website which launched Harris' campaign stated, "Throughout her career, Kamala has been a fearless advocate for the voiceless and vulnerable, and a vocal and determined fighter on behalf of all people."

Amy Klobuchar In announcing her candidacy, Klobuchar assured her audience that "everyone matters."

Bernie Sanders In launching his campaign in February, Sanders said: "I'm running for president because a great nation is judged ... by how it treats the most vulnerable: the elderly, the children, our veterans, the sick, and the poor ... [and] because we need to make policy decisions based on science, not politics." Science long ago established the fact that the life of an individual human being begins at fertilization.

Elizabeth Warren At a campaign rally in Dubuque Iowa in late February, Warren indicated her universal health

care plan would help the most vulnerable members of society, including sick babies and the elderly. When an audience member asked, "What about the babies that survive abortion – how come they can't have health care?" she responded, "Infanticide is illegal everywhere in America." As our Spring issue indicated, this does not prevent it from occurring, and some 19 states do not address the issue. Warren also tweeted that she believes "our kids are America's future," and that she was "fighting for a government ... that will invest in our children and our grandchildren."

While the major Democratic candidates listed in this article, as well as Biden and Gillibrand, support abortion up to birth at taxpayer expense, four national polls by different organizations this year find an average of just 13% of Americans support it through birth, while one of the most consistent findings since *Roe v. Wade* was decided is that a solid majority do not want their tax dollars to fund abortion. ■

Planned Parenthood's CEO Fired

by Dr. Ray Adamek

Planned Parenthood's CEO Leanna Wen was fired on July 16, 2019 after just 10 months on the job. She stated online that, "I just learned that the @PPFA Board ended my employment at a secret meeting." Later, she added, "I am leaving because the new Board Chairs and I have philosophical differences over the directions and future of Planned Parenthood. ... I believe that the best way to protect abortion care is to be clear that it is not a political issue but a health care one..." Ironically, she viewed her efforts to expand "reproductive health care" as "lifesaving work."

However, sources told the *New York Times* that Planned Parenthood wanted a "more aggressive political leader." *BuzzFeed News* also reported that PP's fundraising "saw a significant decline" under Wen's leadership, and that Wen refused to use "trans-inclusive" language, which Wen thought would "isolate people in the Midwest." Since Wen became PP's President in the fall of 2018, it has seen a variety of pro-life legislation enacted throughout the U.S., including "heartbeat" laws in some seven states, although these have been challenged in court. Wen's presidency also saw Justice Brett M. Kavanaugh appointed to the Supreme Court. Amanda Skinner, president and CEO of PP of Southern New England, termed the current political climate a "crisis time" for the organization.

Planned Parenthood named Alexis McGill Johnson as new interim president to replace Wen. Johnson has been President of their Board of Directors for many years. She would seem to fill the bill, according to past-president Wen, who said "The new Board leadership has determined that the priority of Planned Parenthood moving forward is to double down on abortion rights advocacy." This emphasis is certainly reflected in PP's annual reports over the past several years. (See "Your Tax Dollars at Work" on our website). Planned Parenthood is not a health care organization. It is an abortion business. ■



Many of us have big dreams about what we want to do in life. Some want to become rich or famous, some want to be movie or recording stars, some want to be doctors or lawyers. Some dreams are about physical appearance, such as wanting to be thinner, more muscular, or have more hair. Whatever the dream, sometimes those dreams might be unreachable. But suppose you learned that you could make your dream come true, but you'd have to kill a few people along the way and endanger others to make it happen. Would you do it?


In a world where pre-born children have become disposable because of abortion, we don't often hear about the couples who have difficulty conceiving when they actually WANT a child and then turn to in-vitro fertilization (IVF) to try and make that happen. Most of them believe that it is their RIGHT to have a child, and nothing should stand in their way. Their eye is on the goal of becoming pregnant with a child and they often ignore the facts of what really happens in the process.

Here are just a few facts about IVF that you may not be aware of:

- As part of the process, the woman's ovaries are stimulated to produce SEVERAL eggs, not just one.
- The eggs are fertilized with the collected male sperm in a laboratory environment which can result in MULTIPLE fertilized eggs. Each and every egg which is fertilized is an individual human being at its earliest stage of development.
- Each newly created human embryo is screened and rated for how fast the cells are dividing or how many cells the embryo has divided into in a certain amount of time or other specific criteria which is very subjective. Many embryos are screened for

genetic imperfections or gender. "Poor" or unacceptable quality embryos (which are still human beings) are often disposed of. Others are frozen to halt the growth until they can be implanted in the woman.

- Once thawed, there are usually multiple embryos implanted in the womb at one time in the hopes that at least one will survive the implantation process. Should multiple embryos all survive the process, women are encouraged to selectively abort the number of human beings growing inside of them to foster a safer pregnancy, effectively killing one or more of their pre-born children. This is called "selective reduction."



The ugly truth about IVF is that human beings are intentionally created outside of normal, sexual relations. Many of these intentionally created humans die in the transfer process, or are eliminated and disposed of because they might not meet subjective genetic or gender criteria. Millions of human embryos are stored alive in freezers. Couples who are the parents of these frozen children may have achieved their goal of giving birth to an IVF child and may not want any more. Some couples have created IVF children and then later divorced. The newspapers are full of stories about custody over frozen embryos, with judges often authorizing the destruction of these embryos much to the chagrin of the parent who wants these children. Regardless of how these children were created, each and every one is a human being that should not be arbitrarily killed.

It is very sad when a couple finds themselves infertile or unable to have a child. But does the process of IVF which may very well destroy human life in the process make it okay just so they might be able to bear another life? Human life is sacred from conception through natural death. IVF puts us in the realm that says just because we CAN do something, doesn't mean that we SHOULD do it. ■

National Day of Remembrance for Aborted Children Saturday Sept. 14 2019

Every day, more than 3,000 children are killed through abortion, and most bodies are discarded with medical waste. On this day, we honor those lives and give them the dignity that abortion denied them.

Right to Life of Northeast Ohio will sponsor an interfaith memorial service on the 6th annual **National Day of Remembrance for Aborted Children** calling on pro-life Americans to honor the gravesites and memorials of our aborted brothers and sisters.

Saturday, September 14, 2019
1:00 PM

Inside the Holy Family Mausoleum near the memorial to the unborn at Holy Cross Cemetery, Akron OH

View all details at www.RightToLifeofNortheastOhio.com

GOLF FOR LIFE

Sponsored by:
**The VanDevere
Bunch**

***DON'T MISS THE FUN!!!
REGISTER NOW!***



September 16, 2019

**Silver Lake Country Club • 1325 Graham Rd. • Silver Lake OH
44224**

For online registration or more information, visit
www.RightToLifeofNortheastOhio.com

I am registering for _____ foursome(s) at a cost of \$500.00 each (\$125 per golfer).

(Please attach additional sheet with names of golfers in additional foursomes.)

Name: _____ Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____ Phone: (____)-____-____ Work Cell Home

E-Mail Address: _____

Golfer #1: _____ Golfer #2: _____

Golfer #3: _____ Golfer #4: _____

TOTAL AMOUNT ENCLOSED: \$ _____ *

Please make checks payable to *Right to Life of Northeast Ohio*, and mail completed form and payment to:

Right to Life of Northeast Ohio Golf for Life ♦ 572 W. Market St. Ste 2 ♦ Akron OH 44303

*For payment by credit card, please contact our office at 330-762-2785. Some portion of your payment may be tax deductible as a donation. To make a tax deductible donation, please make your check payable to *Life Education Fund*, our 501c3 affiliate. A dinner-only option for \$40 is available if you would like someone to join you for the dinner after the event. Please contact our office to make those arrangements.

Thank you to our 2019 sponsors to date:

Chuck and Pat Perrin
Maynard Family Foundation
Mary Ann Haag
Musca Family Charitable Fund
Bishop Roger Gries
John D. Brown, CPA
Padula Family Limited Partnership





572 West Market St. Suite 2 • Akron, OH 44303
330-762-2785
www.RightToLifeofNortheastOhio.com

Our Mission

Protecting the sanctity of human life from conception through natural death...NO EXCEPTIONS

The Main Issues

*Abortion
Embryonic Stem Cell Research & Cloning
Euthanasia & End of Life Decisions*

Our Goal

Building the Culture of Life

Our Strategies

Educate with the facts concerning life issues
Legislate by promoting laws protecting innocent human lives
Advocate as a voice for the voiceless
Unify those seeking to defend life
Multiply our voices to increase in strength

Right to Life
of
Northeast Ohio

Denise Leipold
Executive Director

Board of Directors

Ken Leipold
President

Amy Zimmerman
Vice President

Patrick McNeill
Secretary

Reita Miller
Treasurer

Dr. Ray Adamek
Kayla Atchison
Shari Cavallaro
Robert Hedrick
Rev. Robert Schneider
Jonathan Schulz
Ted Varga
Linda Warren

Youth For Life of Northeast Ohio is a program of Right to Life of Northeast Ohio.

Life Education Fund is a 501c3 affiliate with Right to Life of Northeast Ohio.

Contributions to Life Education Fund are tax deductible under IRS rules.

PLEASE HELP US...

...to continue in our fight to protect innocent human life from conception through natural death...

NO EXCEPTIONS!

You can use the envelope enclosed with this newsletter to make a donation by mail

or

CALL

330-762-2785

or

make a secure online donation on our website by clicking on the "donate" tab.

**A right to die
is not a
duty to kill.**



www.RightToLifeofNortheastOhio.com